By: Angela Slaven, Customer and Communities Directorate, Director -

Service Improvement

To: Supporting People Commissioning Body 28 January 2014

**Subject:** Home Improvement Agency (HIA) Tender - Evaluations

Classification: Unrestricted

## **Summary**

This paper summarises feedback received as a result of a review of the Home Improvement Agencies (HIA) Tender and Tender Evaluation process and captures 'Lessons Learnt' to inform future commissioning plans.

### Recommendations;

The Commissioning Body is asked to note:

1 The outcome of this evaluation report and ensure future commissioning reflects the 'Lessons Learnt'.

#### 1. Introduction

- (1) Following the final award of contracts for Home Improvement Agencies and Handyperson Services which began on 1 October 2012, a review of the tender process was conducted during the period July October 2013.
- (2) As a standard step the outcome of this exercise was to capture 'lessons learnt' which would inform future commissioning.

#### 2. Context

- (1) A questionnaire was circulated to all members of the Core Strategy Group inviting feedback on their involvement in different aspects of the re-tendering process at various stages.
- (2) Members of the tender evaluation panel attended a meeting to review their involvement in that process.

### 3. Feedback from the Core Strategy Group

- (1) One district council returned a completed questionnaire to the Supporting People inbox.
- (2) Findings were as follows:
  - Lack of feedback to comments regarding the service specification
  - Confusion related to the inclusion of the Disabled Facilities Grant work.
  - Lack of clarity around the framework agreement.

- (3) The respondent stated that any future process should be simpler, more transparent and details clarified before the tender is advertised.
- (4) At the December 2013 meeting further suggestions were made by Core Strategy Group regarding the information in the public domain available to potential bidders prior to tender.

# 4. Lessons Learnt (Tender Process)

- (1) As a result of this feedback Commissioned Services would in future adopt the following approach:
  - Provide the Core Strategy Group with a written consultation plan and timetable
  - A consultation report that:
    - Confirms the final service specification
    - o Clarifies how the consultation has influenced the outcome
  - Provide the Core Strategy Group with a written procurement plan with timetable prior to advertising the tender, where possible.
  - Re-evaluate the details available to potential bidders prior to tendering.

## 5. Feedback from the Evaluation Panel members

- (1) Discussions identified aspects of the process that worked well together with aspects of the process that worked less well, as follows:
  - Evaluators worked well as a group, meetings diarised in advance, training was sufficient and overall most technological aspects worked well.
  - There were issues with communication and a lack of consistency in comments made from the Commissioning Team, possibly due to the organisation's restructure, a range of procurement advice obtained and technical problems in the room used for the moderation scoring.

## 6. Lessons Learnt (Tender Evaluation)

- (1) The group reflected on their experiences and made the following suggestions to improve the process:
  - Develop and implement a communication strategy for each cohort
  - Ensure all knowledge is shared within a broad based team
  - Identify appropriate evaluators with specialist knowledge as soon as possible
  - Invite the Core Strategy Group to nominate individuals to be involved in future commissioning processes
  - The Core Strategy Group to identify a representative to be the lead for all twelve districts in each commissioning exercise with responsibility for helping with communication to all members of the Core Strategy Group
  - Guidance document to be published and distributed before evaluation process starts
  - Ensure appropriate time is allocated in the procurement plan to agree the evaluation guidance

- All evaluators to sign a confidentiality agreement
- Ensure the meeting room for the moderation scoring has all technological requirements
- Ensure all queries and scores are resolved prior to the evaluation period being concluded at the moderation meeting.
- Ensure time frame is appropriate and communicate reasons for any delays
- Interview bidders face to face, where appropriate.

### 7. Conclusion

- (1) A procurement of the Home Improvements Agencies was a complex exercise undertaken during a difficult period of change.
- (2) It was agreed that improvements in communication will result in better understanding and interpretation of information.
- (3) All involved have enhanced their own learning and development. The 'Lessons Learnt' will ensure the continuous improvement of future commissioning processes.

### Recommendations;

The Commissioning Body is asked to note:

1 The outcome of this evaluation report and ensure future commissioning reflects the 'Lessons Learnt'.

# **Background Documents**

None

Sally Duncan

**Commissioning Officer** 

01622 694475

sally.duncan@kent.gov.uk